



1 **SR**
2 MARK E. FERRARIO, Bar No. 1625
3 KARA B. HENDRICKS, Bar No. 7743
4 TAMI D. COWDEN, Bar No. 8994
5 GREENBERG TRAUERIG, LLP
6 10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600
7 Las Vegas, NV 89135
8 Telephone: (702) 792-3773
9 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
10 Email: ferrariom@gtlaw.com
11 hendricksk@gtlaw.com
12 cowdent@gtlaw.com

13 *Attorneys for the Plaintiff*

14 **IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**
15 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

16 STATE OF NEVADA, EX REL. COMMISSIONER
17 OF INSURANCE, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY
18 AS STATUTORY RECEIVER FOR DELINQUENT
19 DOMESTIC INSURER,

20 Plaintiff,

21 vs.

22 SPIRIT COMMERCIAL AUTO RISK RETENTION
23 GROUP, INC., a Nevada Domiciled Association
24 Captive Insurance Company,

25 Defendant.

26 Case No. A-19-787325-B
27 Dept. No. 27

28 **SIXTEENTH STATUS REPORT**

COMES NOW, the Commissioner of Insurance,¹ and CANTILO & BENNETT, L.L.P., Special Deputy Receiver (“SDR”), and files this Sixteenth Status Report in the above-captioned receivership. In accordance with the orders of this Court and the Nevada Revised Statutes (“NRS”) Chapter 696B, the

¹ Barbara D. Richardson resigned from her position as Commissioner of Insurance effective December 30, 2022. Nick Stosic has been named as acting Insurance Commissioner. Pursuant to NRCP 25(d), when a public officer ceases to hold office while an action is pending, “[t]he officer’s successor is automatically substituted as a party.”

1 Receiver makes this “true report[s] in summary form of the insurer’s affairs under the receivership and
2 of progress being made in accomplishing the objectives of the receivership.” NRS 696B.290(7).

3 **I.**

4 **INTRODUCTION**

5 Spirit Commercial Auto Risk Retention Group, Inc. (“Spirit” or the “Company”) is an association
6 captive insurance company organized under the insurance laws of Nevada and the Liability Risk
7 Retention Act of 1986. Spirit received its Certificate of Authority on February 24, 2012, and operates
8 under the authority of NRS Chapter 694C. Spirit transacted commercial auto liability insurance business.
9 Within that line, Spirit specialized in serving commercial truck owners.

10 Pursuant to NRS 679A.160, Spirit is subject to Nevada laws in Chapters 694C and 695E that
11 pertain to captive insurers (as “captive insurer” is defined in NRS 694C.060) and risk retention groups
12 (as “risk retention group” is defined in NRS 695E.110) that have a Certificate of Authority from the
13 Division. Spirit is considered an association captive insurer (as “association captive insurer” is defined
14 in NRS 694C.050). As a risk retention group (“RRG”), Spirit is subject to the Federal Liability Risk
15 Retention Act of 1986. RRGs domiciled in Nevada do not participate in the Nevada Guaranty
16 Association. Pursuant to NRS 695E.140(1)(a), Spirit is also subject to all laws that pertain to traditional
17 liability insurers (with exceptions given in Bulletin 14-008).

18 As discussed in the Receiver’s First Status Report, Spirit is part of an Insurance Holding
19 Company System and in large part it only did business with other members of that system. CTC
20 Transportation Insurance Services of Missouri, LLC (“CTC”), with offices in Missouri, New Jersey, and
21 California, served as the program administrator and managing general agent for Spirit. Criterion Claims
22 Solutions of Omaha, Inc. (“Criterion”) was the third-party claims administrator for Spirit. Lexicon
23 Insurance Management LLC was the captive manager for the company (after Risk Services initially
24 served in that role through circa July 2018). Chelsea Financial Group, Inc. provided premium financing
25 services for the majority of Spirit’s policies. The company 10-4 Risk Management provided risk
26 management and loss run services. The owner or ultimate controlling person for each of these entities
27

1 is or was Thomas Mulligan.² All of these companies were taking a portion of the premium dollars from
2 Spirit-issued policies.

3 The Commissioner initially filed her first petition to put the Company into receivership on
4 January 11, 2019, and her efforts to protect the policyholders and other creditors of the estate were
5 contested vigorously by the Company. On February 27, 2019, this Court entered its Permanent
6 Receivership Order. Barbara D. Richardson, Commissioner of Insurance (“Commissioner”), in her
7 capacity as Receiver for Spirit appointed the firm of CANTILO & BENNETT, L.L.P. as the Special Deputy
8 Receiver of the Companies. The “Receiver” and “Special Deputy Receiver” are referred to collectively
9 herein as the “Receiver.”

10 In brief, the Permanent Receivership Order established the following key points for the Spirit
11 receivership:

- 12 1) that the Company’s in-force insurance policies are to be canceled effective on the earlier
13 of April 15, 2019, or the date when the insured ceased making premium payments to
14 Spirit;
- 15 2) that the Receiver may impose a full suspension on all disbursements owed by Spirit,
16 including insurance policy disbursements, and costs related to the defense or adjudication
17 of insurance policy claims;
- 18 3) that the receivership court has exclusive jurisdiction over all matters pertaining to Spirit
19 and all persons are enjoined from commencing, bringing, maintaining, or further
20 prosecuting any action at law, suit in equity, arbitration, or special or other proceeding
21 against the Company, Receiver, or Special Deputy Receiver;
- 22 4) that the Receiver is vested with exclusive title both legal and equitable to all of Spirit’s
23 property wherever located, to administer under the general supervisions of the Court;
- 24 5) that the Receiver may change to her own name the name of any of Spirit’s accounts, funds
25 or other property or assets, held with any bank, savings and loan association, other
26

27 ² See Schedule Y: Part 1A, to the Company’s 2018 Annual Statement, the “Detail of Insurance Holding Company
28 System” (the Receiver’s First Status Report, Ex. B).

1 financial institution, or any other person, wherever located, and may withdraw such
2 funds, accounts and other assets from such institutions or take any lesser action necessary
3 for the proper conduct of the receivership; and

- 4 6) that the Receiver is authorized to establish a receivership claims and appeal procedure,
5 for all receivership claims. The receivership claims and appeals procedures shall be used
6 to facilitate the orderly disposition or resolution of claims or controversies involving the
7 receivership or the receivership estate.

8 On September 16, 2019, the Receiver filed a consolidated motion for a Final Order Placing Spirit
9 Commercial Auto Risk Retention Group into Liquidation, and for an Order Setting a Claims Filing
10 Deadline, and Granting Related Relief (the “Consolidated Motion”). The Consolidated Motion was
11 heard and granted on October 24, 2019. On November 6, 2019, the Court entered its Final Order Placing
12 Spirit into Liquidation (the “Liquidation Order”) and its Final Order Setting Claims Filing Deadline for
13 Spirit and Related Relief (“the Claims Order”). The Claims Order established a Claims Filing Deadline,
14 and procedures for filing claims against Spirit. The Liquidation Order also granted the Receiver’s
15 request to formally place Spirit into liquidation effective on November 6, 2019. On September 30, 2020,
16 the Court entered an *Order Extending the Claims Filing Deadline for Spirit Commercial Auto Risk*
17 *Retention Group, Inc.* The Claims Filing Deadline was extended to May 31, 2021, and has now expired.

18 II.

19 RECEIVERSHIP ADMINISTRATION

20 A. Notice of Developments in Receivership

21 On August 19, 2019, the Court entered its Order Regarding Motion for Instructions Including
22 Notice Requirements (the “Notice Order”). Future notices about Spirit’s receivership will be provided
23 to interested parties in accordance with the Court’s Notice Order. Interested parties may also monitor
24 the Spirit receivership web site (www.spiritinsure.com) to keep up to date about developments in the
25 receivership.

1 **B. Claims Administration and Third-Party Support Services**

2 TRISTAR Risk Management (“TRISTAR”) is assisting the Receiver in evaluating the Proofs of
3 Claim (“POCs”) that have been received. TRISTAR’s initial work for the estate included an evaluation
4 of the outstanding policy claims liabilities of the estate. TRISTAR’s work in this regard is detailed in
5 the Sixth Status Report and exhibits thereto. TRISTAR has since assisted the Receiver in evaluating and
6 determining the POCs filed in the Spirit estate. Among other things, TRISTAR also continues to
7 maintain a customer service telephone line for Spirit, handle inquiries regarding policy claims and other
8 general inquiries about the receivership, and host certain paper and electronic claim records for the Spirit
9 estate. Through the appeals phase of the claims process for the estate (*i.e.*, as claimants submit appeals
10 of the Receiver’s claim determinations), TRISTAR will evaluate the appeal submissions from claimants
11 and make recommendations to the Receiver regarding proposed resolutions of such appeals. The
12 Receiver anticipates that it will continue to utilize TRISTAR’s assistance for claims matters, until all
13 claims and appeals of the estate are resolved. The Receiver will continue to evaluate the need for
14 TRISTAR’s assistance and will continue to report about TRISTAR’s ongoing work for the estate.

15 The Claims Filing Deadline expired on May 31, 2021. There were 1,405 timely POC
16 submissions received.

17 The Receiver is evaluating the claims against the estate and has begun mailing written Notices
18 of Claim Determination to claimants – and these notices advise claimants of whether their claims are
19 approved (in full or in part) or denied. Approximately nine hundred six (906) Notices of Claim
20 Determination have been completed and mailed to the claimants to date. The Receiver has posted a
21 status update (*i.e.*, advising that POCs are in the process of being evaluated) to the home page of the
22 Spirit receivership web site. Approximately fifty-two (52) appeals of the Receiver’s claim
23 determinations have been received to date. We are enclosing a report on the determination of the
24 Receiver on each claim approved in whole or in part, as well as a report of each claim determination to
25
26
27
28

1 which an objection has been filed pursuant to NRS 696B.330(6-8). A copy of the claims report without
2 the names of the claimants are being submitted as **Exhibit 1** to this report.³

3 A status check hearing was held on December 7, 2022, during which counsel for the Receiver
4 provided a status update to the receivership court regarding the processing of receivership claims and
5 appeals. Prior to the December status check hearing, the Receiver submitted to the Court a Motion to
6 Establish a Claims Appeal Process and Procedure and For the Appointment of a Special Master to Assist
7 with the Same, which presents a proposed procedure for appeal hearings. A hearing on the motion is
8 scheduled for January 19, 2023, at 10:00 a.m.

9 The United States has filed a POC in the receivership, asserting the priority of its claims—if any
10 (they are unknown at this time according to the POC)—over and above any other claims against the
11 estate pursuant to 31 U.S. Code § 3713, also known as the government “superpriority” statute. The
12 Receiver sent letters to the United States to provide a reminder that its claim must be complete, non-
13 contingent, and liquidated in amount on or before the May 31, 2021, deadline. No amendment or
14 supplement from the United States has been received as of the date of this report. The Receiver has also
15 written to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (“CMS”), seeking policy guidance regarding
16 the applicability of certain claim reporting requirements to the Spirit receivership. CMS has yet to
17 respond, but the Receiver will continue to seek direct input from the agency to clarify the regulatory
18 requirements for the Spirit estate.

19 The policy data of Spirit is held in the Aspire Information System (“Aspire”), which was created
20 by Maple Technologies. The Receiver believes that this system is still of value to the receivership,
21 particularly during the pendency of the POC process and certain litigation matters. At the outset of the
22 receivership, the Receiver worked with Maple Technologies to continue Spirit’s pre-receivership
23 arrangement. The Receiver was then able to negotiate a lower monthly cost to maintain the Receiver’s
24 access to the Aspire system in receivership.⁴ The Receiver will regularly review the need for this system.

26 ³ Individual claimant names have been removed from the public document out of concern for privacy. Exhibit 1
27 submitted to the Court for in camera review includes claimants’ names.

28 ⁴ The Aspire rate information is included within Exhibit 1 to this report.

1 Actuarial firm Oliver Wyman Actuarial Consulting, Inc. (“Oliver Wyman”) has been engaged to
2 prepare actuarial estimates for Spirit’s claims and future losses. Oliver Wyman previously completed
3 actuarial estimates for Spirit as of December 31, 2020, and is currently working on the estimates for
4 Spirit as of December 31, 2021.

5 Calhoun, Thompson & Matza, L.L.P. is a CPA firm that has been hired by the Receiver to prepare
6 Spirit’s federal and state tax returns.

7 PALOMAR FINANCIAL, LC (“Palomar”) is an affiliated company of the Special Deputy Receiver
8 and performs financial and technical administrative support services for Spirit in receivership—and
9 those services are now being performed by Palomar. Palomar is being used to facilitate the
10 receivership’s administration of financial matters. The Receiver, with assistance from Palomar, has
11 finalized all outstanding premium tax matters for the Company, including tax matters that remained
12 outstanding and overdue by former Spirit leadership at the outset of the Receivership, and routine
13 reporting continues as required for certain state jurisdictions.

14 As the Court is aware, the Receiver has engaged the law firm of Greenberg Traurig LLP
15 (“Greenberg Traurig”), as counsel in this receivership matter. Additionally, as reported in the previous
16 status reports, the Receiver has engaged the services of Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie (“Lewis Roca”) to
17 handle certain limited matters and to act as outside conflicts counsel to address other matters that may
18 arise in which Greenberg Traurig is not representing the receivership estate.

19 The Receiver has received notice from time to time of lawsuits filed against Spirit in violation of
20 the Court’s Permanent Receivership Order. The Receiver will continue its established procedure of
21 writing to the parties involved to inform them of the injunctions of the Permanent Receivership Order,
22 and to request a voluntary dismissal of Spirit from the matter. Thus far, the majority of counsel have
23 been amenable to such requests. In limited cases and only when absolutely necessary, the Receiver will
24 engage outside counsel to address ongoing or repeated violations of this Court’s orders.

1 **C. Records**

2 The Receiver has made efforts to secure Spirit’s electronic records from third parties. The
3 Receiver will continue with the evaluation of the Company and will continue gathering the Company’s
4 records and data. This process will remain ongoing.

5 **D. Asset Recovery Litigation and Arbitration**

6 On February 6, 2020, the Receiver filed an asset recovery lawsuit against a number of parties,
7 including Thomas Mulligan, CTC, Criterion, Spirit’s former directors and officers, various other former
8 vendors of Spirit, and various other related persons and entities (“Asset Recovery Lawsuit”).⁵ The Asset
9 Recovery Lawsuit was filed in the Eighth Judicial District Court of Clark County, Nevada, and assigned
10 Case No. A-20-809963-B. Although the majority of Defendants filed answers to the Asset Recovery
11 Lawsuit, CTC⁶ and Criterion Claim Solutions of Omaha, Inc. each filed Motions to Compel Arbitration
12 of the claims asserted by the Receiver in her asset recovery lawsuit. The Motions to Compel Arbitration
13 were granted by Judge Denton and thereafter nine of the defendants⁷ filed a Motion to Stay Pending
14 Arbitration, and joinders were filed by nineteen additional defendants. The Court granted the Motion to

15 _____
16 ⁵ The Defendants in the Asset Recovery Lawsuit are: Thomas Mulligan, an Individual; CTC Transportation
17 Insurance Services of Missouri, LLC, a Missouri Limited Liability Company; CTC Transportation Insurance Services LLC,
18 a California Limited Liability Company; CTC Transportation Insurance Services of Hawaii LLC, a Hawaii Limited Liability
19 Company; Criterion Claims Solutions of Omaha, Inc., a Nebraska Corporation; Pavel Kapelnikov, an Individual; Chelsea
20 Financial Group, Inc., a California Corporation; Chelsea Financial Group, Inc., a Missouri Corporation; Chelsea Financial
21 Group, Inc., a New Jersey Corporation D/B/A Chelsea Premium Finance Corporation; Chelsea Financial Group, Inc., a
22 Delaware Corporation; Chelsea Holding Company, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; Chelsea Holdings, LLC, a
23 Nevada Limited Liability Company; Fourgorean Capital, LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company; Kapa Management
24 Consulting, Inc. a New Jersey Corporation; Kapa Ventures, Inc., a New Jersey Corporation; Global Forwarding Enterprises
25 Limited Liability Company, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company; Global Capital Group, LLC, a New Jersey Limited
26 Liability Company; Global Consulting; New Tech Capital, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; Lexicon Insurance
27 Management LLC, A North Carolina Limited Liability Company; Icap Management Solutions, LLC, a Vermont Limited
28 Liability Company; Six Eleven LLC, a Missouri Limited Liability Company; 10-4 Preferred Risk Managers Inc., a Missouri
Corporation; Ironjab LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company; Yanina G. Kapelnikov, an Individual; Igor Kapelnikov,
an Individual; Quote My Rig LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company; Matthew Simon, an Individual; Daniel George,
an Individual; John Maloney, an Individual; James Marx, an Individual; Carlos Torres, an Individual; Virginia Torres, an
Individual; Scott McCrae, an Individual; Brenda Guffey, an Individual; 195 Gluten Free LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability
Company, Doe Individuals I-X; and Roe Corporate Entities I-X. The Receiver’s previous Twelfth Status Report provides
the dates that answers to the suit were filed by the defendants.

25 ⁶ Here, “CTC” refers to Defendants CTC Transportation Insurance Services of Missouri LLC; CTC Transportation
26 Insurance Services, LLC; and CTC Transportation Insurance Services of Hawaii LLC.

27 ⁷ Six Eleven LLC, Quote My Rig, LLC, New Tech Capital, LLC, 195 Gluten Free LLC, 10-4 Preferred Risk
28 Managers, Inc., Ironjab LLC, Fourgorean Capital LLC, Chelsea Holdings Company, LLC (“Chelsea Holdings”), and Chelsea
Financial Group, Inc. (MO) (“Chelsea Financial MO”) (collectively, “Six Eleven Defendants”).

1 Stay Pending Arbitration and the Joinders thereto and the formal Notice of Entry of Order was entered
2 on November 17, 2020.

3 On April 1, 2021, the Receiver filed a Petition for Writ of Mandamus in the Nevada Supreme
4 Court regarding, *inter alia* (1) the Court’s July 17, 2020, Order Granting CTC Defendants’ Motion to
5 Compel Arbitration, (2) the Court’s July 22, 2020, Order Granting Criterion Claim Solution’s Motion to
6 Compel Arbitration, and (3) the Court’s November 17, 2020, Order Granting the Motion to Stay Pending
7 Arbitration and all Joinders Thereto. On February 18, 2022, the Nevada Supreme Court denied the
8 Receiver’s petition for writ of mandamus – thereby requiring the Receiver to pursue two separate
9 arbitration proceedings to recover Spirit’s assets (*i.e.*, while litigation against the remaining defendants
10 is stayed pending the outcome of the separate arbitration proceedings).

11 Accordingly, on February 25, 2022, the Receiver filed a Motion for Leave to Initiate Arbitration
12 Proceedings on Order Shortening Time. On March 14, 2022, this Court granted the Receiver Leave to
13 Initiate Arbitration Proceedings against the CTC Defendants and Criterion Claims Solutions of Omaha,
14 Inc.

15 After working with counsel for CTC and Criterion on stipulated arbitrations procedures that will
16 govern the two separate arbitration proceedings, the Receiver initiated arbitration by submitting a
17 demand/complaint on CTC on August 2, 2022. CTC requested additional time to respond to the same
18 and filed its response on October 17, 2022. Pursuant to the terms of the Program Administrator
19 Agreement (“PSA”), the arbitration will proceed with a three-person arbitration panel. All three
20 arbitrators have been selected and a scheduling conference was held on December 19, 2022.⁸ Based on
21 the initial conference, it is anticipated that the arbitration will go forward beginning April 29, 2024, and
22 will be held in Las Vegas, Nevada after the completion of discovery.

23 The Receiver submitted an arbitration demand/complaint on Criterion on August 19, 2022, and
24 Criterion filed a response on September 19, 2022. The Receiver and Criterion have agreed upon an
25

26 _____
27 ⁸ The arbitrators for this matter will be Robert Hall, Ret. Judge Elizabeth Gonzalez and Susan Claflin will serve as
28 the umpire. The Receiver is responsible to pay Mr. Hall’s fees and half of Ms. Claflin’s fees associated with the arbitration.
CTC will pay Judge Gonzalez’s fees and half the fees of Ms. Claflin.

1 arbitrator and a scheduling conference was held on December 16, 2022.⁹ Prior to the initial scheduling
2 conference, the parties agreed to participate in a mediation and are currently working to schedule the
3 same to occur in the next few months. If the mediation is not successful, the parties will meet again with
4 the arbitrator and further define a discovery schedule with arbitration proceedings anticipated late Spring
5 2024 after the conclusion of the CTC arbitration.

6 **E. Actuarial Reports**

7 Oliver Wyman is an actuarial firm that was selected to prepare actuarial estimates for Spirit's
8 claims and future losses. Oliver Wyman has completed its actuarial report for Spirit as of December 31,
9 2020. The Receiver wrote about the report in the previous Tenth Status Report.

10 **F. Receivership Assets and Liabilities**

11 The Receiver has been gathering information and evaluating the assets and liabilities of Spirit.
12 The Receiver's liability analysis will continue to evolve as the claims of the estate are determined, asset
13 recoveries are made, and actuary estimates of Spirit's liabilities are updated. Below is an overview of
14 some key assets and liability matters thus far identified by the Receiver.

- 15 1. CTC owes a large balance to Spirit that is at least more than \$40 million and may
16 be much greater after further discovery. The Receiver filed the Asset Recovery
17 Lawsuit seeking the return of this money *inter alia* as detailed above, and is also
18 pursuing claims in arbitration as also detailed above.
- 19 2. The cash and invested assets of the Company were approximately \$33,460,169 as
20 of November 30, 2022.
- 21 3. Gross Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense ("LAE") and General Liability Losses:
22 The gross unpaid loss & allocated LAE as of December 31, 2020, are estimated
23 at \$198,663,000 by Oliver Wyman.
- 24 4. Other Assets: There is no known tangible personal property or real property
25 owned by the Company.

26
27 ⁹ The parties were able to agree on a single arbitrator. Ret. Magistrate Judge Peggy Leen will serve as the arbitrator
28 for the Criterion matter and the parties will split payment of her fees equally.

1 We are enclosing the consultants and Special Deputy Receiver bills paid or approved for payment
2 since the last status report filed with the Court, and the detailed billings are submitted *in camera*, with
3 summaries of such bills being submitted as Exhibit 2 to this report.¹⁰ The Receiver is including, as
4 Exhibit 3 attached hereto, a cash flow report for November 30, 2022, reflecting recoveries,
5 disbursements, and cash flow since the receivership began.

6 ///

7 ///

8 ///

10 The *in-camera* materials are being submitted in a separate envelope that reflect paid invoices. Certain billings submitted to the Court are appropriate for *in camera* review (as opposed to being made part of a public filing). More particularly, and as discussed in further detail below, certain consultants in this matter will provide expert witness related services. As such, the billing entries relating thereto should be considered confidential and/or otherwise not subject to discovery. As detailed above, claims administration is a significant part of the work remaining to be completed prior to winding down the Spirit liquidation and is a significant expense for the estate. TRISTAR's detailed invoices have been included with the *in camera* submission for the Court's information. The Receiver has approved billing rates for Cantilo & Bennett, L.L.P. as of January 1, 2022, which are included in the billings for that month, and which updates earlier billing rates approved by the Receiver.

19 In this regard, courts have held that the bills of legal counsel and experts may be withheld from legal discovery and are not subject to legal disclosure, as this information may provide indications or context concerning potential litigation strategy and the nature of the expert services being provided. *See, e.g., Avnet, Inc. v. Avana Technologies Inc.*, No. 2:13-cv-00929- GMN-PAL, 2014 WL 6882345, at *1 (D. Nev. Dec. 4, 2014) (finding that billing entries were privileged because they reveal a party's strategy and the nature of services provided); *Fed. Sav. & Loan Ins. Corp. v. Ferm*, 909 F.2d 372, 374-75 (9th Cir. 1990) (considering whether or not fee information revealed counsel's mental impressions concerning litigation strategy). Other courts that have addressed this issue have recognized that the "attorney-client privilege embraces attorney time, records and statements to the extent that they reveal litigation strategy and the nature of the services provided." *Real v. Cont'l Grp., Inc.*, 116 F.R.D. 211, 213 (N.D. Cal. 1986).

24 The *in-camera* review should apply not only to documentation concerning attorney fees, but it also extends to "details of work revealed in [an] expert's work description [which] would relate to tasks for which she [or he] was compensated[.]" a situation which is "analogous to protecting attorney-client privileged information contained in counsel's bills describing work performed." *See DaVita Healthcare Partners, Inc. v. United States*, 128 Fed. Cl. 584, 592-93 (2016); *see also Chaudhry v. Gallerizzo*, 174 F.3d 394, 402 (4th Cir. 1999) (recognizing that "correspondence, bills, ledgers, statements, and time records which also reveal the motive of the client in seeking representation, litigation strategy, or the specific nature of the services provided, such as researching particular areas of law," are protected from disclosure) (quoting *Clarke v. Am. Commerce Nat'l Bank*, 974 F.2d 127, 129 (9th Cir. 1992)).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

III.

CONCLUSION

In compliance with this Court’s instructions for a status report regarding the affairs of the Company, the Receiver has submitted the aforementioned status report and requests that the Court approve this Sixteenth Status Report and the actions taken by the Receiver.

DATED this 6th day of January 2023.

Respectfully submitted:

By: /s/ CANTILO & BENNETT, L.L.P.
Special Deputy Receiver
By Its Authorized Representative
Mark F. Bennett

MARK E. FERRARIO, ESQ. (SB# 1625)
KARA HENDRICKS, ESQ. (SB# 7743)
TAMI D. COWDEN, ESQ. (SB# 8994)
GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP
10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

Counsel for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1
2 Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D) and E.D.C.R. 8.05, I certify that on this 6th day of January
3 2023, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing *Sixteenth Status Report* to be e-filed and e-
4 served on the upon the parties all parties registered for e-service. The date and time of the electronic
5 proof of service is in place of the date and place of deposit in the mail.

6
7 /s/ Andrea Lee Rosehill
8 An employee of Greenberg Traurig, LLP
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28