



1 **SR**
2 MARK E. FERRARIO, Bar No. 1625
3 KARA B. HENDRICKS, Bar No. 7743
4 TAMI D. COWDEN, Bar No. 8994
5 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
6 10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600
7 Las Vegas, NV 89135
8 Telephone: (702) 792-3773
9 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
10 Email: ferrariom@gtlaw.com
11 hendricksk@gtlaw.com
12 cowdent@gtlaw.com

13 *Attorneys for the Plaintiff*

14 **IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**
15 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

16 STATE OF NEVADA, EX REL. COMMISSIONER
17 OF INSURANCE, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY
18 AS STATUTORY RECEIVER FOR DELINQUENT
19 DOMESTIC INSURER,

20 Plaintiff,

21 vs.

22 SPIRIT COMMERCIAL AUTO RISK RETENTION
23 GROUP, INC., a Nevada Domiciled Association
24 Captive Insurance Company,

25 Defendant.

26 Case No. A-19-787325-B
27 Dept. No. 27

28 **EIGHTEENTH STATUS REPORT**

COMES NOW, the Commissioner of Insurance¹, and CANTILO & BENNETT, L.L.P., Special Deputy Receiver (“SDR”), and files this Eighteenth Status Report in the above-captioned receivership. In accordance with the orders of this Court and the Nevada Revised Statutes (“NRS”) Chapter 696B, the

¹ Barbara D. Richardson resigned from her position as Commissioner of Insurance effective December 30, 2022. Scott Kipper was named as the new Insurance Commissioner. Pursuant to NRCP 25(d), when a public officer stops holding office while an action is pending, “[t]he officer’s successor is automatically substituted as a party.”

1 Receiver makes this “true report[s] in summary form of the insurer’s affairs under the receivership and
2 of progress being made in accomplishing the objectives of the receivership.” NRS 696B.290(7).

3 **I.**

4 **INTRODUCTION**

5 Spirit Commercial Auto Risk Retention Group, Inc. (“Spirit” or the “Company”) is an association
6 captive insurance company organized under the insurance laws of Nevada and the Liability Risk
7 Retention Act of 1986. Spirit received its Certificate of Authority on February 24, 2012, and operates
8 under the authority of NRS Chapter 694C. Spirit transacted commercial auto liability insurance business.
9 Within that line, Spirit specialized in serving commercial truck owners.

10 Pursuant to NRS 679A.160, Spirit is subject to Nevada laws in Chapters 694C and 695E that
11 pertain to captive insurers (as “captive insurer” is defined in NRS 694C.060) and risk retention groups
12 (as “risk retention group” is defined in NRS 695E.110) that have a Certificate of Authority from the
13 Division. Spirit is considered an association captive insurer (as “association captive insurer” is defined
14 in NRS 694C.050). As a risk retention group (“RRG”), Spirit is subject to the Federal Liability Risk
15 Retention Act of 1986. RRGs domiciled in Nevada do not participate in the Nevada Guaranty
16 Association. Pursuant to NRS 695E.140(1)(a), Spirit is also subject to all laws that pertain to traditional
17 liability insurers (with exceptions given in Bulletin 14-008).

18 As discussed in the Receiver’s First Status Report, Spirit is part of an Insurance Holding
19 Company System and in large part it only did business with other members of that system. CTC
20 Transportation Insurance Services of Missouri, LLC (“CTC”), with offices in Missouri, New Jersey, and
21 California, served as the program administrator and managing general agent for Spirit. Criterion Claims
22 Solutions of Omaha, Inc. (“Criterion”) was the third-party claims administrator for Spirit. Lexicon
23 Insurance Management LLC was the captive manager for the company (after Risk Services initially
24 served in that role through circa July 2018). Chelsea Financial Group, Inc. provided premium financing
25 services for the majority of Spirit’s policies. The company 10-4 Risk Management provided risk
26 management and loss run services. The owner or ultimate controlling person for each of these entities
27

1 is or was Thomas Mulligan.² All of these companies were taking a portion of the premium dollars from
2 Spirit-issued policies.

3 The Commissioner initially filed a petition to put the Company into receivership on January 11,
4 2019, and efforts to protect the policyholders, and other creditors, of the estate, were contested
5 vigorously by the Company. On February 27, 2019, this Court entered its Permanent Receivership
6 Order. Barbara D. Richardson, Commissioner of Insurance, in her capacity as Receiver for Spirit
7 appointed the firm of CANTILO & BENNETT, L.L.P. as the Special Deputy Receiver of the Companies.
8 The “Receiver” and “Special Deputy Receiver” are referred to collectively herein as the “Receiver.”

9 In brief, the Permanent Receivership Order established the following key points for the Spirit
10 receivership:

- 11 1) that the Company’s in-force insurance policies are to be canceled effective on the earlier
12 of April 15, 2019, or the date when the insured ceased making premium payments to
13 Spirit;
- 14 2) that the Receiver may impose a full suspension on all disbursements owed by Spirit,
15 including insurance policy disbursements, and costs related to the defense or adjudication
16 of insurance policy claims;
- 17 3) that the receivership court has exclusive jurisdiction over all matters pertaining to Spirit
18 and all persons are enjoined from commencing, bringing, maintaining, or further
19 prosecuting any action at law, suit in equity, arbitration, or special or other proceeding
20 against the Company, Receiver, or Special Deputy Receiver;
- 21 4) that the Receiver is vested with exclusive title both legal and equitable to all of Spirit’s
22 property wherever located, to administer under the general supervisions of the Court;
- 23 5) that the Receiver may change to her own name, the name of any of Spirit’s accounts,
24 funds, or other property or assets, held with any bank, savings and loan association, other
25 financial institution, or any other person, wherever located, and may withdraw such
26

27 ² See Schedule Y: Part 1A, to the Company’s 2018 Annual Statement, the “Detail of Insurance Holding Company
28 System” (the Receiver’s First Status Report, Ex. B).

1 funds, accounts and other assets from such institutions or take any lesser action necessary
2 for the proper conduct of the receivership; and

- 3 6) that the Receiver is authorized to establish a receivership claims and appeal procedure,
4 for all receivership claims. The receivership claims and appeals procedures shall be used
5 to facilitate the orderly disposition or resolution of claims or controversies involving the
6 receivership or the receivership estate.

7 On September 16, 2019, the Receiver filed a consolidated motion for a Final Order Placing Spirit
8 Commercial Auto Risk Retention Group into Liquidation, and for an Order Setting a Claims Filing
9 Deadline, and Granting Related Relief (the “Consolidated Motion”). The Consolidated Motion was
10 heard and granted on October 24, 2019. On November 6, 2019, the Court entered its Final Order Placing
11 Spirit into Liquidation (the “Liquidation Order”) and its Final Order Setting Claims Filing Deadline for
12 Spirit and Related Relief (the “Claims Order”). The Claims Order established a Claims Filing Deadline,
13 and procedures for filing claims against Spirit. The Liquidation Order also granted the Receiver’s
14 request to formally place Spirit into liquidation effective on November 6, 2019. On September 30, 2020,
15 the Court entered an *Order Extending the Claims Filing Deadline for Spirit Commercial Auto Risk*
16 *Retention Group, Inc.* The Claims Filing Deadline was extended to May 31, 2021, and has now expired.

17 II.

18 RECEIVERSHIP ADMINISTRATION

19 A. Notice of Developments in Receivership

20 On August 19, 2019, the Court entered its Order Regarding Motion for Instructions Including
21 Notice Requirements (the “Notice Order”). Future notices about Spirit’s receivership will be provided
22 to interested parties in accordance with the Court’s Notice Order. Interested parties may also monitor
23 the Spirit receivership web site (www.spiritinsure.com) to keep up to date about developments in the
24 receivership.

25 B. Claims Administration and Third-Party Support Services

26 TRISTAR Risk Management (“TRISTAR”) is assisting the Receiver in evaluating the Proofs of
27 Claim (“POCs”) that have been received. TRISTAR’s initial work for the estate included an evaluation
28

1 of the outstanding policy claims liabilities of the estate. TRISTAR’s work in this regard is detailed in
2 the Sixth Status Report and exhibits thereto. TRISTAR has since assisted the Receiver in evaluating and
3 determining the POCs filed in the Spirit estate. Among other things, TRISTAR also continues to
4 maintain a customer service telephone line for Spirit, handle inquiries regarding policy claims and other
5 general inquiries about the receivership, and host certain paper and electronic claim records for the Spirit
6 estate. Through the appeals phase of the claims process for the estate (*i.e.*, as claimants submit appeals
7 of the Receiver’s claim determinations), TRISTAR will evaluate the appeal submissions from claimants
8 and make recommendations to the Receiver regarding proposed resolutions of such appeals. The
9 Receiver anticipates that it will continue to utilize TRISTAR’s assistance for claims matters, until all
10 claims and appeals of the estate are resolved. The Receiver will continue to evaluate the need for
11 TRISTAR’s assistance and will continue to report TRISTAR’s ongoing work for the estate.

12 The Claims Filing Deadline expired on May 31, 2021. There were one thousand four hundred
13 five (1,405) timely POC submissions received.

14 The Receiver is evaluating the claims against the estate and has begun mailing written Notices
15 of Claim Determination to claimants — and these notices advise claimants of whether their claims are
16 approved (in full or in part) or denied. Approximately one thousand one hundred two (1,102) Notices
17 of Claim Determination have been completed and mailed to the claimants to date. The Receiver has
18 posted a status update (*i.e.*, advising that POCs are in the process of being evaluated) to the home page
19 of the Spirit receivership web site. Approximately fifty-nine (59) appeals of the Receiver’s claim
20 determinations have been received to date. We are enclosing a report on the determination of the
21 Receiver on each claim approved in whole or in part through June 5, 2023, as well as a report of each
22 claim determination to which an objection has been filed³ pursuant to NRS 696B.330(6-8). A copy of
23 the claims report, without the names of the claimants, is being submitted as **Exhibit 1** to this report.⁴

24
25 ³ The report on these objections will be updated to reflect the Court’s determination of each objection once hearings
26 have been held, pursuant to NRS 696B.330, and final orders are entered by the Court thereon.

27 ⁴ Individual claimant names have been removed from the public document out of concern for privacy. Exhibit 1
28 submitted to the Court for in camera review includes claimants’ names.

1 A status check hearing was held on December 7, 2022, during which counsel for the Receiver
2 provided a status update to the receivership court regarding the processing of receivership claims and
3 appeals. Prior to the December status check hearing, the Receiver submitted to the Court a Motion to
4 Establish a Claims Appeal Process and Procedure and For the Appointment of a Special Master to Assist
5 with the Same, which presents a proposed procedure for appeal hearings. This motion was granted at a
6 hearing held on January 19, 2023. The Order Granting Motion to Establish Claims Appeal Process and
7 Procedure and for the Appointment of a Special Master to Assist with the Same was entered on March
8 3, 2023. The Order appoints two Special Masters to preside over appeal hearings, and sets out the
9 procedures for such appeals, as well as the procedure for certain other appeals which are to be reviewed
10 by the receivership court. Counsel for the Receiver is working with the Special Masters to schedule
11 appeal hearings and briefing schedules. The first appeal hearing with Special Master David Lee was
12 held on June 21, 2023, and the first appeal hearings with Special Master Jennifer Togliatti were
13 scheduled for June 28, 2023, and claims will continue to be scheduled and heard on a rolling basis. As
14 claims are scheduled, counsel for the Receiver is notifying claimants of the time and place for the hearing
15 of their objections, as well as the briefing schedule. As appeals are resolved through the hearing process,
16 the Receiver will update the claim and appeal report exhibits to the status report to reflect the appeal
17 resolution and the final determination of the claims. The above-referenced motions and orders have
18 been posted to the receivership web site, www.spiritinsure.com (“Receivership Documents” tab).

19 The United States has filed a POC in the receivership, asserting the priority of its claims—if any
20 (they are unknown at this time according to the POC)—over and above any other claims against the
21 estate pursuant to 31 U.S. Code § 3713, also known as the government “superpriority” statute. The
22 Receiver sent letters to the United States to provide a reminder that its claim must be complete, non-
23 contingent, and liquidated in amount on or before the May 31, 2021, deadline. No amendment or
24 supplement from the United States has been received as of the date of this report. The Receiver has also
25 written to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (“CMS”), seeking policy guidance regarding
26 the applicability of certain claim reporting requirements for the Spirit receivership. CMS has yet to
27
28

1 respond, but the Receiver will continue to seek direct input from the agency to clarify the regulatory
2 requirements for the Spirit estate.

3 The policy data of Spirit is held in the Aspire Information System (“Aspire”), which was created
4 by Maple Technologies. The Receiver believes that this system is still of value to the receivership,
5 particularly during the pendency of the POC process and certain litigation matters. At the outset of the
6 receivership, the Receiver worked with Maple Technologies to continue Spirit’s pre-receivership
7 arrangement. The Receiver was then able to negotiate a lower monthly cost to maintain the Receiver’s
8 access to the Aspire system in receivership. The Receiver will regularly review the need for this system.

9 Actuarial firm Oliver Wyman Actuarial Consulting, Inc. (“Oliver Wyman”) has been engaged to
10 prepare actuarial estimates for Spirit’s claims and future losses and continues as a consultant.

11 Calhoun, Thompson & Matza, L.L.P. is a CPA firm that has been hired by the Receiver to prepare
12 Spirit’s federal and state tax returns.

13 PALOMAR FINANCIAL, LC (“Palomar”) is an affiliated company of the Special Deputy Receiver
14 and performs financial and technical administrative support services for Spirit in receivership—and
15 those services are now being performed by Palomar. Palomar is being used to facilitate the
16 receivership’s administration of financial matters. The Receiver, with assistance from Palomar, has
17 finalized all outstanding premium tax matters for the Company, including tax matters that remained
18 outstanding and overdue by former Spirit leadership at the outset of the receivership, and routine
19 reporting continues as required for certain state jurisdictions.

20 As the Court is aware, the Receiver has engaged the law firm of Greenberg Traurig LLP
21 (“Greenberg Traurig”), as counsel in this receivership matter. Additionally, as reported in the previous
22 status reports, the Receiver has engaged the services of Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie (“Lewis Roca”) to
23 handle certain limited matters and to act as outside conflicts counsel to address other matters that may
24 arise in which Greenberg Traurig is not representing the receivership estate.

25 The Receiver has received notice from time to time of lawsuits filed against Spirit in violation of
26 the Court’s Permanent Receivership Order. The Receiver will continue its established procedure of
27 writing to the parties involved to inform them of the injunctions of the Permanent Receivership Order,
28

1 and to request a voluntary dismissal of Spirit from the matter. Thus far, the majority of counsel have
2 been amenable to such requests. In limited cases and only when absolutely necessary, the Receiver will
3 engage outside counsel to address ongoing or repeated violations of this Court’s orders.

4 **C. Records**

5 The Receiver has made efforts to secure Spirit’s electronic records from third parties. The
6 Receiver will continue with the evaluation of the Company and will continue gathering the Company’s
7 records and data. This process will remain ongoing.

8 **D. Asset Recovery Litigation and Arbitration**

9 On February 6, 2020, the Receiver filed an asset recovery lawsuit against a number of parties,
10 including Thomas Mulligan, CTC, Criterion, Spirit’s former directors and officers, various other former
11 vendors of Spirit, and various other related persons and entities (“Asset Recovery Lawsuit”).⁵ The Asset
12 Recovery Lawsuit was filed in the Eighth Judicial District Court of Clark County, Nevada, and assigned
13 Case No. A-20-809963-B. Although the majority of Defendants filed answers to the Asset Recovery
14 Lawsuit, CTC⁶ and Criterion Claim Solutions of Omaha, Inc. each filed Motions to Compel Arbitration
15 of the claims asserted by the Receiver in her asset recovery lawsuit. The Motions to Compel Arbitration
16

17 ⁵ The Defendants in the Asset Recovery Lawsuit are: Thomas Mulligan, an Individual; CTC Transportation
18 Insurance Services of Missouri, LLC, a Missouri Limited Liability Company; CTC Transportation Insurance Services LLC,
19 a California Limited Liability Company; CTC Transportation Insurance Services of Hawaii LLC, a Hawaii Limited Liability
20 Company; Criterion Claims Solutions of Omaha, Inc., a Nebraska Corporation; Pavel Kapelnikov, an Individual; Chelsea
21 Financial Group, Inc., a California Corporation; Chelsea Financial Group, Inc., a Missouri Corporation; Chelsea Financial
22 Group, Inc., a New Jersey Corporation D/B/A Chelsea Premium Finance Corporation; Chelsea Financial Group, Inc., a
23 Delaware Corporation; Chelsea Holding Company, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; Chelsea Holdings, LLC, a
24 Nevada Limited Liability Company; Fourgorean Capital, LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company; Kapa Management
25 Consulting, Inc., a New Jersey Corporation; Kapa Ventures, Inc., a New Jersey Corporation; Global Forwarding Enterprises
26 Limited Liability Company, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company; Global Capital Group, LLC, a New Jersey Limited
27 Liability Company; Global Consulting; New Tech Capital, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; Lexicon Insurance
28 Management LLC, a North Carolina Limited Liability Company; Icap Management Solutions, LLC, a Vermont Limited
Liability Company; Six Eleven LLC, a Missouri Limited Liability Company; 10-4 Preferred Risk Managers Inc., a Missouri
Corporation; Ironjab LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company; Yanina G. Kapelnikov, an Individual; Igor Kapelnikov,
an Individual; Quote My Rig LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company; Matthew Simon, an Individual; Daniel George,
an Individual; John Maloney, an Individual; James Marx, an Individual; Carlos Torres, an Individual; Virginia Torres, an
Individual; Scott McCrae, an Individual; Brenda Guffey, an Individual; 195 Gluten Free LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability
Company, Doe Individuals I-X; and Roe Corporate Entities I-X. The Receiver’s previous Twelfth Status Report provides
the dates that answers to the suit were filed by the defendants.

⁶ Here, “CTC” refers to Defendants CTC Transportation Insurance Services of Missouri LLC; CTC Transportation
Insurance Services, LLC; and CTC Transportation Insurance Services of Hawaii LLC.

1 were granted by Judge Denton and thereafter nine of the defendants⁷ filed a Motion to Stay Pending
2 Arbitration, and joinders were filed by nineteen additional defendants. The Court granted the Motion to
3 Stay Pending Arbitration and the Joinders thereto and the formal Notice of Entry of Order was entered
4 on November 17, 2020.

5 On April 1, 2021, the Receiver filed a Petition for Writ of Mandamus in the Nevada Supreme
6 Court regarding, *inter alia* (1) the Court’s July 17, 2020, Order Granting CTC Defendants’ Motion to
7 Compel Arbitration; (2) the Court’s July 22, 2020, Order Granting Criterion Claim Solution’s Motion to
8 Compel Arbitration; and (3) the Court’s November 17, 2020, Order Granting the Motion to Stay Pending
9 Arbitration and all Joinders Thereto. On February 18, 2022, the Nevada Supreme Court denied the
10 Receiver’s petition for writ of mandamus — thereby requiring the Receiver to pursue two separate
11 arbitration proceedings to recover Spirit’s assets (*i.e.*, while litigation against the remaining defendants
12 is stayed pending the outcome of the separate arbitration proceedings). Accordingly, on February 25,
13 2022, the Receiver filed a Motion for Leave to Initiate Arbitration Proceedings on Order Shortening
14 Time. On March 14, 2022, this Court granted the Receiver Leave to Initiate Arbitration Proceedings
15 against the CTC Defendants and Criterion Claims Solutions of Omaha, Inc.

16 After working with counsel for CTC and Criterion on stipulated arbitrations procedures that will
17 govern the two separate arbitration proceedings, the Receiver initiated arbitration by submitting a
18 demand/complaint on CTC on August 2, 2022. Pursuant to the terms of the Program Administrator
19 Agreement (“PSA”), the arbitration will proceed with a three-person arbitration panel. All three
20 arbitrators have been selected,⁸ and it is anticipated that the arbitration will go forward beginning April
21 29, 2024, and will be held in Las Vegas, Nevada, after the completion of discovery. Discovery is
22 currently ongoing.

23
24
25 ⁷ Six Eleven LLC, Quote My Rig, LLC, New Tech Capital, LLC, 195 Gluten Free LLC, 10-4 Preferred Risk
26 Managers, Inc., Ironjab LLC, Fourgorean Capital LLC, Chelsea Holdings Company, LLC (“Chelsea Holdings”), and Chelsea
27 Financial Group, Inc. (MO) (“Chelsea Financial MO”) (collectively, “Six Eleven Defendants”).

28 ⁸ The arbitrators for this matter will be Robert Hall, Ret. Judge Elizabeth Gonzalez, and Susan Claflin will serve as
the umpire. The Receiver is responsible to pay Mr. Hall’s fees and half of Ms. Claflin’s fees associated with the arbitration.
CTC will pay Judge Gonzalez’s fees and half the fees of Ms. Claflin.

1 The Receiver submitted an arbitration demand/complaint on Criterion on August 19, 2022, and
2 Criterion filed a response on September 19, 2022. Thereafter, the parties agreed to a mediation which
3 was held on May 18, 2023. Through the mediation process, the Receiver learned that Criterion has no
4 source of income, no material assets, no clients or customers, no revenue, is insolvent, has no employees
5 (aside from Thomas Mulligan), has no applicable insurance coverage that will cover the claims raised in
6 the arbitration proceeding and has plans to resolve. As a result of the same, the Receiver determined it
7 was not beneficial to continue to pursue claims against Criterion and thus the parties agreed to a
8 Stipulated Dismissal, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit 4**.

9 **E. Actuarial Reports**

10 Oliver Wyman is an actuarial firm that was selected to prepare actuarial estimates for Spirit's
11 claims, and future losses. Oliver Wyman completed its actuarial report for Spirit as of December 31,
12 2020, and is a consultant to the Receiver regarding actuarial matters. The Receiver wrote about the
13 report in the previous Tenth Status Report.

14 **F. Receivership Assets and Liabilities**

15 The Receiver has been gathering information and evaluating the assets and liabilities of Spirit.
16 The Receiver's liability analysis will continue to evolve as the claims of the estate are determined, asset
17 recoveries are made, and actuary estimates of Spirit's liabilities are updated. Below is an overview of
18 some key assets and liability matters thus far identified by the Receiver.

- 19 1. CTC owes a large balance to Spirit that is at least more than \$40 million and may
20 be much greater after further discovery. The Receiver filed the Asset Recovery
21 Lawsuit seeking the return of this money *inter alia* as detailed above and is also
22 pursuing claims in arbitration as also detailed above.
- 23 2. The cash and invested assets of the Company were approximately \$32,298,693 as
24 of May 31, 2023.
- 25 3. Gross Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense ("LAE") and General Liability Losses:
26 The gross unpaid loss & allocated LAE as of December 31, 2020, are estimated
27 at \$198,663,000 by Oliver Wyman.

1 4. Other Assets: There is no known tangible personal property, or real property,
2 owned by the Company.

3 We are enclosing the consultants and SDR bills paid or approved for payment since the last status
4 report filed with the Court, and the detailed billings are submitted *in-camera*, with summaries of such
5 bills being submitted as **Exhibit 2** to this report.⁹ The Receiver is including, as **Exhibit 3** attached
6 hereto, a cash flow report for May 31, 2023, reflecting recoveries, disbursements, and cash flow since
7 the receivership began.

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16 ⁹ The *in-camera* materials are being submitted in a separate envelope that reflect paid invoices. Certain billings
17 submitted to the Court are appropriate for *in-camera* review (as opposed to being made part of a public filing). More
18 particularly, and as discussed in further detail below, certain consultants in this matter will provide expert witness related
19 services. As such, the billing entries relating thereto should be considered confidential and/or otherwise not subject to
20 discovery. As detailed above, claims administration is a significant part of the work remaining to be completed prior to
21 winding down the Spirit liquidation and is a significant expense for the estate. TRISTAR’s detailed invoices have been
22 included with the *in-camera* submission for the Court’s information.

23 In this regard, courts have held that the bills of legal counsel and experts may be withheld from legal discovery and
24 are not subject to legal disclosure, as this information may provide indications or context concerning potential litigation
25 strategy and the nature of the expert services being provided. See, e.g., Avnet, Inc. v. Avana Technologies Inc., No. 2:13–
26 cv–00929–GMN–PAL, 2014 WL 6882345, at *1 (D. Nev. Dec. 4, 2014) (finding that billing entries were privileged because
27 they reveal a party’s strategy and the nature of services provided); Fed. Sav. & Loan Ins. Corp. v. Ferm, 909 F.2d 372, 374–
28 75 (9th Cir. 1990) (considering whether or not fee information revealed counsel’s mental impressions concerning litigation
29 strategy). Other courts that have addressed this issue have recognized that the “attorney-client privilege embraces attorney
30 time, records and statements to the extent that they reveal litigation strategy and the nature of the services provided.” Real
31 v. Cont’l Grp., Inc., 116 F.R.D. 211, 213 (N.D. Cal. 1986).

32 The *in-camera* review should apply not only to documentation concerning attorney fees, but it also extends to
33 “details of work revealed in [an] expert’s work description [which] would relate to tasks for which she [or he] was
34 compensated[.]” a situation which is “analogous to protecting attorney-client privileged information contained in counsel’s
35 bills describing work performed.” See DaVita Healthcare Partners, Inc. v. United States, 128 Fed. Cl. 584, 592-93 (2016);
36 see also Chaudhry v. Gallerizzo, 174 F.3d 394, 402 (4th Cir. 1999) (recognizing that “correspondence, bills, ledgers,
37 statements, and time records which also reveal the motive of the client in seeking representation, litigation strategy, or the
38 specific nature of the services provided, such as researching particular areas of law,” are protected from disclosure) (quoting
39 Clarke v. Am. Commerce Nat’l Bank, 974 F.2d 127, 129 (9th Cir. 1992)).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

III.

CONCLUSION

In compliance with this Court’s instructions for a status report regarding the affairs of the Company, the Receiver has submitted the aforementioned status report and requests that the Court approve this Eighteenth Status Report and the actions taken by the Receiver.

DATED this 30th day of June 2023.

Respectfully submitted:

By: /s/ CANTILO & BENNETT, L.L.P.
Special Deputy Receiver
By Its Authorized Representative
Mark F. Bennett

MARK E. FERRARIO, ESQ. (SB# 1625)
KARA HENDRICKS, ESQ. (SB# 7743)
TAMI D. COWDEN, ESQ. (SB# 8994)
GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP
10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

Counsel for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D) and E.D.C.R. 8.05, I certify that on this 30th day of June 2023, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing ***Eighteenth Status Report*** to be e-filed and e-served on the upon the parties all parties registered for e-service. The date and time of the electronic proof of service is in place of the date and place of deposit in the mail.

/s/ Andrea Lee Rosehill
An employee of Greenberg Traurig, LLP

EXHIBIT LIST

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	NO. OF PAGES (Excluding tabs)
1	Redacted Claims and Appeals Report	59
2	Bill Summaries of Interim Fees and Expenses Incurred by Lancet Indemnity Risk Retention Group for Cantilo & Bennett, L.L.P., and Palomar Financial	33
3	Cash Flow and Disbursements Summary	2
4	Stipulation and Order of Dismissal in re <i>Barbara D. Richardson, in her Capacity as Statutory Receiver for Spirit Commercial Auto Risk Retention Group, Inc. v. Criterion Claims Solutions of Omaha, Inc.</i> , JAMS Arbitration Reference No. 1260006987	25

Exhibits may be requested by contacting the Special Deputy Receiver at 512-478-6000.